

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,176		12/05/2001	Sam Yang	M4065.0210-/P210-A	3795	
24998	7590	05/22/2006		EXAMINER		
		IRO MORIN & C	TRINH, HOA B			
2101 L Stree Washington				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2814		

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Advisory Action	10/002,176	YANG ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikki H. Trinh	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	-	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ejected claims.				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-55,57-65,68,97 and 98.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	NO(8)	M			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argue that Lizuka does not teach the step of annealing the dielectric layer or the top electrode with oxidizing gas anneal at fewer than 760 Toor. However, applicants admit that Lizuka does disclose an anneal at 1 atmospheric pressure which is equivalent to 760 Torr. Thus, applicants have not yet overcome the prior art rejection because the claims' language is still to broad..